

Lewis Acid Mediated Cyclisation of Methylenecyclopropyl Ketones and Aldehydes

Guillaume L. N. Peron, John Kitteringham and Jeremy D. Kilburn**

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK
^bSynthetic Chemistry Department, SmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals, New Frontiers Science
Park, Third Avenue, Harlow, Essex CM19 5AW, UK
Received 22 January 1999; accepted 9 February 1999

Abstract

The Lewis acid mediated cyclisation of various methylenecyclopropyl ketones, ketals and aldehydes has been investigated as a new route to six- and seven-membered rings. Cyclisation of aldehyde 6, ketal 9 and ketone 11 with $TiCl_4$ gave cyclohexene products, and cyclisation of ketal 10 gave a dichlorocycloheptene, all *via* nucleophilic addition of the methylenecyclopropyl π bond to the activated carbonyl. Cyclisation of ketone 12, however, with $SnCl_4$, gave a cyclopentanol 21, presumably *via* nucleophilic addition of a cyclopropyl σ bond to the activated carbonyl. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Methylenecyclopropane; Lewis acid; cyclisation

Methylenecyclopropane derivatives have been extensively used in synthesis, for example in [3+2] cycloaddition reactions catalysed by transition metals. In radical based annulation reactions and in radical cyclisation reactions. A recent report by Hosomi *et al.* showed that methylenecyclopropane could be also coupled with carbonyl compounds using Lewis acids such as $TiCl_4$. We have investigated the analogous intramolecular cyclisation of methylenecyclopropyl ketones and aldehydes and we wish to report our results in this paper. Activation of aldehyde or ketone 1, with a suitable Lewis acid such as $TiCl_4$, should allow intramolecular nucleophilic attack of the double bond of the methylenecyclopropyl unit leading to cyclohexyl cation 2, which, by analogy with the mechanism proposed by Hosomi, should open to give π -allyl cation intermediate 3, which in turn, can be quenched by a chloride anion to give cycloalkene 4 or methylenecycloalkane 5 (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1

Since methylenecyclopropyl ketones and aldehydes such as 1 can be readily prepared by alkylation of lithiated methylenecyclopropane, 6 this, in conjunction with the successful realisation of the cyclisation (Scheme 1) would provide a novel and simple sequence for the preparation of cycloalkanes or cycloalkenes.

The substrates we initially chose to study were aldehyde 6 and ketones 11 and 12. Alkylation of lithiated methylenecyclopropane, in the presence of HMPA, with tetrahydropyranyl protected 3-bromopropan-1-ol, deprotection of the resulting tetrahydropyranyl ether with p-TsOH in wet acetone, and Swern oxidation gave aldehyde 6 (Scheme 2). Similarly, alkylation of lithiated methylenecyclopropane, in the presence of HMPA, with iodides 7 or 8 followed by deprotection of the resulting ketals 9 and 10, with p-TsOH in wet acetone, gave ketones 11 and 12.

Scheme 2

Cyclisation of aldehyde 6 was investigated first, using a range of Lewis acids. In common with Hosomi, we found that TiCl₄ worked best for aldehyde 6, giving a 50% yield of cyclohexene 13 as the only isolated product⁷ when the reaction was conducted at 0 °C (Scheme 3). Lower yields of 13 were obtained when the reaction was carried out at either higher or lower temperatures. With SnCl₄ (at -78 °C) a low yield (7%) of cyclohexene 13 was obtained, whereas treatment of aldehyde 6 with BF₃.Et₂O gave a complex mixture of products, and no reaction was observed using ZnCl₂.

Scheme 3

A similar range of Lewis acids were investigated for the cyclisation of ketone 11. Again, using $TiCl_4$, a reasonable yield of cyclised product 14 was obtained by conducting the reaction at -40 °C (Scheme 4). At higher temperatures, an increasing amount of byproduct 15 was formed (as a single diastereoisomer⁸), presumably by intramolecular trapping of the intermediate allyl cation by the alkoxide, and subsequent hydration of the double bond. With $SnCl_4$, at -78 °C, a low yield (6%) of cyclohexene 14 was obtained, whereas treatment of ketone 11 with BF_3 . Et_2O only ever gave a complex mixture of products, and no reaction was observed with $ZnBr_2$, HCl, Et_4AlCl or $EtAlCl_2$.

Scheme 4

Lewis acid mediated cyclisation of ketal 9 was also investigated (Scheme 5). Ketal 9, upon treatment with 1.1 eq. TiCl₄, at - 78 °C, gave dichloride 16 in 37% yield. Using 2 eq. TiCl₄, a slightly improved yield of 16 was obtained (41%). Using 2 eq. SnCl₄, cyclohexene 17 and methylene cyclohexane 18 (as a single diastereoisomer⁸) were obtained as an inseparable mixture, in a 1.6:1 ratio, in 70% yield.

Scheme 5

Similar results were obtained from the cyclisation of ketal 10 (Scheme 6). Thus a dichloride 19 was obtained in 11% yield when the cyclisation was carried out with 2 eq. TiCl₄, but using 2 eq. SnCl₄, gave cycloheptene 20 in 46% yield, and as a single regionsomer.

Scheme 6

The reaction of ketone 12 with Lewis acids, however, did not give the expected cycloheptene. Instead, cyclisation using 1.1 eq. SnCl₄, at -50°C, gave cyclopentanol 21 in 43% yield, and as a single diastereoisomer⁸ (Scheme 7).

Scheme 7

Presumably 21 is formed via nucleophilic addition of the 'distal' cyclopropyl σ bond to the activated carbonyl. Electrophilic addition to cyclopropanes is well known⁹ and is presumably preferred, in this case, to addition to the double bond of the methylenecyclopropyl because of favourable orbital overlap in the transition state, although why this should be is not clear and merits further investigation. Using BF₃.Et₂O or TiCl₄ for the cyclisation of 12 gave a complex mixture of inseparable products and there was no reaction with HCl, Et₂AlCl or EtAlCl₂.

In conclusion, we have found that cyclisation of methylenecyclopropane derivatives using Lewis acids provides a novel route to six- and seven-membered rings. The efficiency of these cyclisations is sensitive to the Lewis acid used, but under optimum conditions gave reasonable yields of highly functionalised products.

Acknowledgements

We thank SmithKline Beecham for supporting this work.

References And Notes

- a) Lautens, M.; Klute, W.; Tam, W. Chem. Rev., 1996, 96, 49; b) Corlay, H.; Lewis, R. T.;
 Motherwell, W. B.; Shipman, M. Tetrahedron, 1995, 51, 3303; c) Lewis, R. T.; Motherwell, W. B.;
 Shipman, M.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Williams, D. J. Tetrahedron, 1995, 51, 3289.
- 2. Huval, C. C.; Church, K. M.; Singleton, D. A. SynLett, 1994, 273; b) Huval, C. C.; Singleton, D. A. Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 689.
- a) Boffey, R. J.; Santagostino, M.; Whittingham, W. G.; Kilburn, J. D. Chem. Commun., 1998, 1875;
 b) Pike, K. G.; Destabel, C.; Anson, M.; Kilburn, J. D. Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 5877;
 c) Santagostino, M.; Kilburn, J. D. Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 1365;
 d) Destabel, C.; Kilburn, J. D.; Knight, J. Tetrahedron, 1994, 50, 11267 and 11289.
- 4. Miura, K.; Takasumi, M.; Hondo, T.; Saito, H.; Hosomi, A. Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 4587.
- For earlier work on reactions of methylenecyclopropanes with electrophiles, see: a) Roth, M.; Schade, C.; Mayr, H. J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 169; b) Yamago, S.; Nakamura, E. J. Org. Chem., 1990, 55, 5553; c) Yamago, S.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 7285; d) McMurry, J. E.; Erion, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 2712; e) Crombie, L.; Maddocks, P. J.; Pattenden, G. Tetrahedron Lett., 1978, 3479 and 3483.
- 6. a) Sternberg, E.; Binger, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 301; b) Thomas, E. W. Tetrahedron Lett., 1983, 24, 1467. See also ref 3.
- 7. All new compounds were characterised by IR, MS, 'H and '3C NMR, with 'H-1H and 'H-13C correlation spectra, where necessary, to aid the assignments, and by HRMS. Full details will be reported in due course.
- 8. The stereochemistry of this compound has not yet been determined.
- 9. Depuy, C. H., Topics Curr. Chem., 1973, 40, 73.